UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Francis Damien Block	Case No. 1:13-cr-00223-PLM
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refor lefendant be detained pending trial.	rm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Find	lings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	66(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	eath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of to	en years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	lves:
	a minor victim	
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed wh or local offense.	ile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption or the community. I further find that defendant	otion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	Findings (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	nas committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sec	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and	lished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions I the safety of the community.
		Findings (B)
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of th	e Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
Defenda	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest	detention pending trial.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 8, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	